This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
 - TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
 - FADED TEXT
 - ILLEGIBLE TEXT
 - SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
 - COLORED PHOTOS
 - BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
 - GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.

The .

JFW 1733- DAG

DOCKET NO.: HENK-0046

PATENT



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of:

Confirmation No.: 2436

Konrad Scholz

Application No.: 09/877,372 Group Art Unit: 1733

Filing Date: June 8, 2001

Examiner: Jessica Rossi

For: Pressure Element For An Edge Gluing Machine And Gluing Method

DATE OF DEPOSIT: MAy 5, 2004

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PAPER IS BEING DEPOSITED WITH THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE AS FIRST CLASS MAIL, POSTAGE PREPAID, ON THE DATE INDICATED ABOVE AND IS ADDRESSED TO THE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, P.O. BOX 1450, ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450.

TYPED NAME: Scott E. Scioli REGISTRATION NO.: 47,930

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PETITION FOR WITHDRAWAL OF ABANDONMENT

Applicant petitions that the abandonment set forth in the notice mailed by the Office on April 6, 2004 be withdrawn as there is no abandonment in fact.

Submitted herewith is:

- A copy of the postcard identifying the papers filed and showing the U.S. PTO receipt stamp dated April 1, 2004.
- A copy of the complete response timely filed.
- A copy of Express Mail Label No. EL 969189209US which is the number identified on the first page of the previously filed response showing a written "date in" of April 1, 2004.
- Applicants authorize the Commissioner to charge Deposit Account No. 23-3050 in the amount of the petition fee. However, as no defect exists in

DOCKET NO.: HENK-0046

- 2 -

PATENT

applicant's previous submission, an immediate refund of the petition fee is requested.

 \boxtimes

The Commission is hereby authorized to charge payment of the above fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 23-3050. This sheet is attached in duplicate.

Date: May 5, 2004

Scott E. Scioli

Registration No. 47,930

Woodcock Washburn LLP One Liberty Place - 46th Floor Philadelphia PA 19103

Telephone: (215) 568-3100 Facsimile: (215) 568-3439

© 2004 WW

ATTES JUCKBUKE

RECEIVED BY THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Paper: Request for Continued Examination (RCE) Transmittal Pursuant to 37 GFR §1.114 (in duplicate) with Express Mail sticker (pages 1-3); Reply Pursuant to 37 CFR § 1.116 (pages 1-10); a check in the amount of \$942.00 for RCE fee and 2 additional claims; return postcard; all via Express Mail No. EL 969189209 US

Applicant(s): Konrad Scholz Pesterwitz

Title: Pressure Element For An Edge Gluing Machine And Gluing Method Application No.: 09/877,372

Filed: June 8, 2001

Docket No.: HENK-0046

Date Sent: April 1, 2004

Sent By: J. Caldwe

April 1, 2004

April 1, 2004

J. Caldwell/S. Scioli/jmatteo A

COPY





COPY

EXPRESS Flabel 11-F June 2002
EXPRESS WALL UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE & POST Office TO Addressee Of/GIN POSTAL USE ONLY) Dato in Service Day of Delivery Flat Rate Envelope Delivery Postal USE ONLY Dato in Service Day of Delivery Flat Rate Envelope Delivery Attempt Time Employee Signature Time in Service Day of Delivery Attempt Time Employee Signature Dato in Service Day of Delivery Attempt Time Employee Signature Dato in Service Delivery Attempt Time Employee Signature Delivery Date Time Delivery Date Time Employee Signature Delivery Date Time Delivery Date Time Delivery Date Time Address Delivery Date Time Delivery Date Delivery Delivery Delivery
Cutomer Signature Cutomer Cutomer Signature Cutomer Signature Cutomer Signature Cutomer Signature Cutomer Signature Cutomer Signature Cutomer Cutomer Signature Cutomer Signature Cutomer Signature Cutomer Signature Cutomer Signature Cutomer Signature Cuto
O'. IGIN (POSTAL USE ONLY) Day of Delivery Flat Rate Envelope DeLIVERY (POSTAL USE ONLY)
Day of Delivery Day of Delivery Flat Rate Envelope Delivery Attempt Time Employee Flut Delivery Attempt Time Employee Signature Delivery Attempt Time Employee Signature Delivery Attempt Time Employee Signature Delivery Attempt Time Delivery Attempt Delivery Attempt Time Delivery Attempt Time Delivery Attempt Time Delivery Attempt Delivery Attempt Time Delivery Attempt Delivery
Date in Second
Moc Day Year Dispm S Mintary Return Receipt Fee Mon Day Delivery Date Time Ime Ime Ime Ime Ime Ime Ime Ime Ime I
Mintary Return Receipt Fee Delivery Date Time Employee Square
SAMPLE FORM Sand Day Sand Day Weight ## Int'l Alpha Country Code COD Fee III Insurance Fee WAVER OF BIGNATURE (Concettic Ont) Addit to form the Code of Code
Westernal Westernal Modernal M
No Delivery Acceptance Clerk thittels Total Postage & Feds NODELIVERY Workand Workshop NODELIVERY Customa Grant Total Postage & Feds NODELIVERY Workand Market College Control
CUSTOMER USE ONLY CONTONION STATEMENT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P
METHOD OF PAYMENTINGS
Postal Service Acct. No.
FROM: (PLEASE PRINT) PHONE 235, 568 3100 TO: (PLEASE PRINT) PHONE (TO)
网络大型大型大型大型大型大型大型大型大型大型大型大型大型大型大型大型大型大型大型
PHILADEL PHIA PA 19103-7338 PO BOX 1450
HENK-0046
TCARdue 11/S. Scioli/matter
PRESS HARD.
You are making 3 copies. FOR PICKUP OR TRACKING CALL 1-800-222-1811 www.usps.com

WOODCOCK WASHBUF URTZ MACKIEWICZ & NORR

ONE LIBERTY PLACE - 46TH FLOOR PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103 Royal Bank of Pennsylvania 60-109/319 04/01/2004 CHECK DATE

133841

CHECK NO.

NINE HUNDRED FORTY-TWO AND 00/100 DOLLARS

CONTROL OF CONTROL SOMETIME CONTROL CONTROL CONTROL CONTROL OF CONTROL OF CONTROL OF CONTROL OF CONTROL CONTROL OF CONTROL CONTROL OF CONTROL CONTROL

\$*** *** *** 942.00

e igner i printing i p

CHECK AMOUNT

THE DER DIRECTOR OF THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

133841# #031901097#

#10 4 060 1#

DODCOCK WASHBURN KURTZ MACKIEWICZ & NORRIS LLP DETACH AND RETAIN THIS STATEMENT
THE ATTACHED CHECK IS IN PAYMENT OF ITEMS DESCRIBED BELOW
IF NOT CORRECT PLEASE NOTIFY US PROMPTLY. NO RECEIPT DESIRED.

CHECK NO. 133841

04/01/2004

AMOUNT PAID INVOICE NO. DESCRIPTION **VOICE DATE** 942:00 4/01/2004 HENK-0---0046

DOCKET NO.: HENK-0046



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of:

Confirmation No.: 2436

COPY

Konrad Scholz Pesterwitz

Application No.: 09/877,372

Filing Date: June 8, 2001

Group Art Unit: 1733

Examiner: Jessica Rossi

For: Pressure Element For An Edge Gluing Machine And Gluing Method

EXPRESS MAIL LABEL NO: EL 969189209 US

DATE OF DEPOSIT: April 1, 2004

EL4P479450402

Mail Stop

🛛 AF

ISSUE FEE

Enclosed

 \boxtimes

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION (RCE) TRANSMITTAL PURSUANT TO 37 CFR § 1.114

This is a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR § 1.114 of the above-identified application.

above	-identifi	ed appu	ication.				
1.	Submi	Submission required under 37 CFR § 1.114					
		Previo	ously submitted				
			Consider the amendment(s)/reply under 37 CFR § 1.116 previously filed on . (Any unentered amendment(s) referred to above will be entered).				
			Consider the arguments in the Appeal Brief or Reply Brief previously filed on				
			Other:				

DOCK	ET NO.: HEN	K-0046			PATENT		
	⊠ A	mendment/Re	CFR § 1.1	16			
	A						
	I1	nformation Dis	closure State	ment (IDS))	×	
	[] · (Other:					
		tilei.			•		
2.	Miscellaneous		•				
	CFR §1.	on of action or 103(c) for a pearee (3) months	eriod of	months.	(Period of	suspension sh	
FEE C.	ALCULATION	[•		·	<u> </u>		
				SMALL ENTITY		NOT SMALL ENTITY	
⊠ RCE BA	SIC FILING FE		·	\$385.00	\$	\$770.00	\$770.00
	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER RCE	HIGHEST NO. PAID FOR	EXTRA				
TOTAL CLAIMS	15	20 (20 MINIMUM)	0	\$9 EACH	\$	\$18 EACH	\$0.00
INDEP. CLAIMS	5	3 (3 MINIMUM)	2	\$43 EACH	\$	\$86 EACH	\$172.00
FIRST PRES	ENTATION OF N	AULTIPLE DEI	\$145	\$	\$290	\$0.00	
ONE MO	NTH EXTENSIO	N OF TIME	\$55	\$	\$110	\$0.00	
☐ TWO MC	ONTH EXTENSION	ON OF TIME		\$210	\$	\$420	\$0.00
THREE N	MONTH EXTENS	SION OF TIME	\$475	\$	\$950	\$0.00	
☐ FOUR M	ONTH EXTENSI	ON OF TIME		\$740	\$	\$1480	\$0.00
	ONTH EXTENSION		\$1005	\$	\$2010	\$0.00	
	be taken when filing R NY EXTENSION I		minus	(\$)	minus	(\$0.00)	
TERMIN	AL DISCLAIME	R	\$55	\$.	\$110	\$0.00	
	TOTAL F	EE DUE		\$		\$942.00	
	credit any	in the amount y overpayment	to Deposit A	Account No	o. 23-3050.		ency or
		harge Deposit et is attached ir		o. 23-3050) in the an	nount of <u>\$</u>	<u>.00</u> .
		ise to the der 37 CFR §	dated 1.136(a) to	was du		. Petition is	•

month(s).

to and through

statutory period of

comprising an extension of the shortened

DOCKET NO.: HENK-0046

A Notice of Appeal was filed An Appeal Brief is due on Applicants are filing this Request for Continued Examination in lieu of this Appeal Brief. Petition is hereby made to extend the time period from to and through comprising a total extension of month(s).

The Commissioner is hereby requested to grant an extension of time for the

The Commissioner is hereby requested to grant an extension of time for the appropriate length of time, should one be necessary, in connection with this filing or any future filing submitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office in the above-identified application during the pendency of this application. The Commissioner is further authorized to charge any fees related to any such extension of time to Deposit Account No. 23-3050. This sheet is provided in duplicate.

The Commission is hereby authorized to charge payment of the above fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 23-3050. This sheet is attached in duplicate.

SHOULD ANY DEFICIENCIES APPEAR with respect to this application, including deficiencies in payment of fees, missing parts of the application or otherwise, the United States Patent and Trademark Office is respectfully requested to promptly notify the undersigned.

Date: April 1, 2004

Scott E. Scioli Registration No. 47,930

E. Justi

Woodcock Washburn LLP One Liberty Place - 46th Floor Philadelphia PA 19103

Telephone: (215) 568-3100 Facsimile: (215) 568-3439

© 2004 WW

Office Action Dated: August 4, 2003

REPLY FILED UNDER EXPEDITED PROCEDURE PURSUANT TO 37 CFR § 1.116



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Konrad Scholz Pesterwitz

Application No.: 09/877,372

Filing Date: June 8, 2001

Confirmation No.: 2436

Group Art Unit: 1733

Examiner: Jessica Rossi

For: Pressure Element For An Edge Gluing Machine And Gluing Method

EXPRESS MAIL LABEL NO: EL 969189209 US

DATE OF DEPOSIT: April 1, 2004

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

REPLY PURSUANT TO 37 CFR § 1.116

In res	ponse to the Official Action dated August 4, 2003, re-	consideration is			
respectfully r	equested in view of the amendments and/or remarks a	s indicated below:			
	Amendments to the Specification begin on page	of this paper.			
\boxtimes	Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of the claim begins on page 2 of this paper.				
	Amendments to the Drawings begin on page an attached replacement sheet.	of this paper and include			
\square	Remarks/Arguments begin on page 5 of this paper	r.			

Office Action Dated: August 4, 2003

PATENT REPLY FILED UNDER EXPEDITED PROCEDURE PURSUANT TO 37 CFR § 1.116

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application.

Listing of Claims:

- 1. (Withdrawn) A pressure element (7) for an edge gluing machine for gluing a bandlike covering (4) or film by means of an adhesive onto a narrow face (3) of a straight or curved cross section of a board element (2) or onto a profile bar having a surface of straight or profiled cross section, wherein the pressure element (7) comprises an elastically deformable pressure face (30).
- 2. (Withdrawn) The pressure element of claim 1, wherein the pressure element comprises a sliding shoe (7).
- 3. (Withdrawn) The pressure element of claim 1, wherein the deformable pressure face comprises an elastic band.
- 4. (Withdrawn) The pressure element of claim 3, wherein the deformable pressure face comprises a spring-steel band (30).
- 5. (Currently Amended) A method for gluing a bandlike covering (4) or film onto a narrow face (3) of a board element (2) or a profile bar having a surface of straight or profiled cross section with adhesive, the covering (4) or film being pressed onto the narrow face (3) or profile bar by means of at least one pressure element (7) wherein the covering (4) or film is pressed on by means of a pressure element (7) which has an elastically deformable pressure face (30) that deformably matches and exerts uniform pressure on the shape of the narrow face (3) or of the profile bar, wherein movement of the board element or profile bar along its length, in relation to the pressure head, occurs while the covering is uniformly pressed onto the narrow face.
 - 6. (Original) The method of claim 5, wherein the adhesive is a hot melt adhesive.

 Page 2 of 10

Office Action Dated: August 4, 2003

PATENT REPLY FILED UNDER EXPEDITED PROCEDURE PURSUANT TO 37 CFR § 1.116

- 7. (Original) The method of claim 5, wherein the board element comprises chipboard, fiber board, or solid wood board.
- 8. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 5, wherein the deformable face comprises an elastic band.
- 9. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 5, wherein the deformable face comprises a spring-steel band.
- 10. (New) A method for uniformly adhering a bandlike covering onto a narrow face of a board element, the method comprising the steps of:
- a) providing a pressure element that includes an elastically deformable pressure face that is capable of deformably matching and exerting uniform pressure on the narrow face, said pressure element having a length which is less than that of the narrow face; and
- b) uniformly adhering the covering and adhesive onto the narrow face with the pressure element.
- 11. (New) The method of claim 10, wherein at least one of the pressure element and the board element is moving during the step b).
- 12. (New) The method of claim 10 wherein the pressure element has a length which is substantially shorter than that of the narrow face
- 13. (New) A method for uniformly adhering a film onto a narrow face of a board element or profile bar comprising the steps of:
- a) bringing the narrow face into initial contact with the film, with an adhesive interposed, by contacting an entry zone of a pressure element;

Office Action Dated: August 4, 2003

PATENT REPLY FILED UNDER EXPEDITED PROCEDURE PURSUANT TO 37 CFR § 1.116

b) uniformly pressing the film onto the narrow face, with the pressure element, said pressure element having an elastically deformable pressure face that deformably matches and exerts uniform pressure on the narrow face; and

c) moving at least one of the pressure head and the board element or profile bar, relative to the other, while uniformly pressing the film onto the narrow face with the pressure element, along the length of the board element or profile bar;

thereby uniformly adhering the film onto the narrow face of the board element or profile bar.

- 14. (New) A method for uniformly adhering a bandlike covering onto a narrow face of a board element or profile bar, the method comprising the steps of:
- a) providing a pressure element that includes an elastically deformable pressure face that is capable of deformably matching and exerting uniform pressure on the narrow face; and
- b) moving at least one of the pressure head and the board element or profile bar, relative to the other, while uniformly pressing the covering onto the narrow face with the pressure element, along the length of the board element or profile bar,

thereby uniformly adhering the covering onto the narrow face of the board element or profile bar.

15. (New) The method of claim 13, wherein the pressure element has a length which is substantially shorter than that of the narrow face.

DOCKET NO.: HENK-0046 Application No.: 09/877,372 Office Action Dated: August 4, 2003 PATENT REPLY FILED UNDER EXPEDITED PROCEDURE PURSUANT TO 37 CFR § 1.116

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Status of the Prosecution:

After entry of this amendment claims 1-15 will be pending in the application. Claims 1-4 have been withdrawn, claims 5-9 are currently under examination. The claims were finally rejected in the Office Action dated August 4, 2003. A Notice of Appeal was filed on February 4, 2004. Claim 5 has been amended herein and new claims 10-15 added. Applicant submits herewith a Request for Continued Examination (RCE).

This response clarifies the Applicant's position and the amendments made herein distinguish the instant claims from the cited art. The amendments overcome the outstanding rejections and are believed to place the claims in condition for allowance.

For example, claim 5 is amended herein to point out that the method is preferably *a* continual process because the board element, and thus its narrow face and the pressure element, are in movement relative to each other, while in uniform contact with each other. The continuous nature of this edge gluing process plainly distinguishes the instant methods from the non-continuous attachment operations cited in the Office Action.

New claims 10-14 are presented herein are generally directed to methods of attaching a bandlike covering (or a film) to a narrow face of a board element (or profile bar). Support for the amendments may be found throughout the specification, for example on pages 9 and 10.

The Claims Are Not Anticipated By The Cited References.

The claims are directed to methods for attaching a covering to a profiled narrow face of a board or the like. Claim 5 is directed to methods of gluing a bandlike covering or film onto a narrow face of a board element or a profile bar with adhesive. The covering or film is pressed onto the narrow face or profile bar by means of at least one pressure element. The pressure element has an elastically deformable pressure face that deformably matches and exerts uniform pressure on the shape of the narrow face or of the profile bar. Movement of the board element or profile bar along its length occurs relative to the pressure head while the covering is pressed onto the narrow face by uniform contact with the pressure element.

Office Action Dated: August 4, 2003

PATENT REPLY FILED UNDER EXPEDITED PROCEDURE PURSUANT TO 37 CFR § 1.116

Claim 5 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 (b) as allegedly anticipated by Finke (U.S. Patent No. 4,261,783) ("Finke"). While Finke allegedly teaches a label being pressed onto a board element by means of at least one pressure element, Finke does not teach the method of claim 5 as amended. For example, Finke does not teach a method wherein the board element is moving while in uniform contact with the pressure element. Finke teaches a pressure element with annular discs portions with small projections (see Finke, figures 8-10). In particular, Finke states that these small projections 232' "provide only a small area of contact between the applicator 201 and the label 21H." The design of Finke's applicator completely precludes maintaining uniform pressure not only because of the space between the discs and that between the projections, but also because of the resulting intermittent contact with the small projections as the applicator rotates. Uniform pressure is not taught it is not necessary for applying labels to boards, the intended use of Finke's applicator, and was never remotely contemplated by Finke. Finke does not anticipate the claim as amended because it does not teach each and every limitation. Applicant respectfully requests that the rejection based on Finke be withdrawn.

Similarly claims 5 and 8 stand rejected as allegedly anticipated under 35 U.S.C. § 102 (e) by La Mers (U.S. Patent No. 3,823050)("La Mers"). While La Mers allegedly teaches a method of applying a label to a narrow face of a board element, La Mers necessarily does not involve a method wherein the board element is moving along its length, relative to the pressure element, while in uniform contact with the pressure element. La Mers applicator head is adapted to apply labels to rounded and convex object, and it is not apparent that the skilled artisan would find it useful for applying labels to a narrow face because the La Mers applicator head is designed so that the forward ends of the columns bend inwardly towards each other so that the flexible blanket can "wrap" the label around the object. A skilled artisan seeking only to apply an edge band would not be interested in such a "wrapping" function. More importantly, La Mers specifically teaches that sliding contact must be avoided as it could result in frictional removal, shifting, or wrinkling of the label (See e.g. Abstract and Summary sections). La Mers simply does not teach applying the covering to a narrow face of a board element, as the objects shown in La Mers do not have a narrow face, or cannot be said to have a narrow face which is capable of moving while in contact with the

Office Action Dated: August 4, 2003

PATENT REPLY FILED UNDER EXPEDITED PROCEDURE PURSUANT TO 37 CFR § 1.116

pressure element. Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the rejection based on La Mers.

Claims 5 and 8-9 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 (e) as allegedly anticipated by Wu et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,383,335). Although Wu allegedly teaches a pressure element that has a deformable face and exerts uniform pressure, it does not teach using that pressure element to glue onto the narrow face of "a board element", as defined for the instant claims. The present claims are directed to methods, and as such an anticipatory reference must teach each and every step of the method. Wu does not teach the steps of the instant claims and accordingly, the reference must be withdrawn.

In particular, Wu does not teach a method as defined in the claims, wherein the narrow face of the board is in movement relative to the pressure element, while in uniform contact with the pressure element. The only movement taught by Wu is in the heat bonding head, which moves up and down in order to make contact with the heat bonding sheet. Wu does not teach a method wherein the board element moves along its length, relative to the pressure element, while maintaining uniform contact with the pressure element. The rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) in view of Wu must be withdrawn.

The Claims are Not Obvious in View of the Cited References

Claims 5 and 7 stand rejected under § 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly unpatentable over Finke as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of Paulk *et al.* (U.S. Patent No: 6,529,799)("Paulk").

The Office Action relies on Finke, alleging that Finke is not limited to any particular shaped object, although it does not expressly teach board elements. The Office Action states that is known to attach adhesive labels to boards in the center, or at a corner as taught by Paulk. Paulk teaches board elements comprising chipboard, fiberboard, or solid wood.

Neither Finke nor Paulk, nor the combination thereof, can be said to teach each and every element or step of the claimed methods. Finke, as described above, does not teach any movement of a board element relative to the pressure element while maintaining uniform pressure therewith. Paulk cannot provide what Finke lacks, as it teaches only discontinuous movement of a labeling assembly within a pack of boards (see e.g. Fig 4 in Paulk). Paulk does not teach movement along the long axis of the board element, but rather only movement

DOCKET NO.: HENK-0046 Application No.: 09/877,372 Office Action Dated: August 4, 2003 PATENT REPLY FILED UNDER EXPEDITED PROCEDURE PURSUANT TO 37 CFR § 1.116

of the assembly in the short axis of the board element, and the short axis of the pack of boards. The labeling assembly moves only from board to board, and not down the length of each board while in uniform contact with the edge of the board.

Therefore, it would not have been obvious to the skilled artisan to combine the teachings of Finke with those of Paulk, to arrive at the claimed invention, because the combination of these two references cannot produce the claimed method. Accordingly, the *prima facie* case is insufficient and the rejection under § 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) must be withdrawn.

Claim 6 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly not patentable over Finke in view of Schut *et al.* (US Patent No: 6,376,058)("Schut"). The shortcomings of Finke have been discussed above. Schut does not provide the limitations needed to make instant claim 6 obvious. Although Schut teaches hot-melt adhesives for applying facestock to liner in label production, the combination of Finke and Schut does not teach all the limitations of the underlying claim, and thus does not render it obvious.

Additionally, *Schut is plainly nonanalogous art*. The examiner previously analogized application of edgebanding to boards to the application of a label to virtually any shaped item (such as bottles and IC chips). With the attempted introduction of Schut, the examiner extends this analogy to applying facestock to labels. This art is completely unrelated to the instant claims directed at applying edge-banding to the narrow face of a board, and does nothing to advance the examiner's *prima facie* case.

The art is nonanalogous because no skilled artisan seeking to solve the problem of uniformly gluing an edgeband to a board would ever consider Schut, which relates to applying facestock to a label. There is no hint or suggestion to combine these references, and in any case, the instant methods relate to problems regarding applying a band to the narrow edge, not the face, of a board. The skilled artisan would recognize that applying facestock in no way entails the same problems as applying edge banding. The operational and mechanical difficulties encountered are completely different. Thus, in addition to not providing the elements or steps missing from Finke, Schut is of absolutely no interest to the artisan trying to arrive at the instant methods. The *prima facie* case is insufficient, and the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) must be withdrawn.

REPLY FILED UNDER EXPEDITED PROCEDURE PURSUANT TO Office Action Dated: August 4, 2003 37 CFR § 1.116

Claims 5 and 7 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly unpatentable over La Mers, and further in view of Paulk. The Office Action alleges that La Mers shows a board element or profile bar in figure 10, and that the reference is not limited to any particular object shape. The Office Action alleges that it would have been obvious to apply the label of La Mers to a board element as taught by Paulk. Neither La Mers, nor Paulk, nor the combination thereof, teach the limitations of the methods of claims 5 or 7. In particular, as discussed above, La Mers teaches only a necessarily discontinuous process in which sliding must be avoided. Nothing in either La Mers or Paulk even remotely suggests that the process of applying labels could be used to apply a label on the narrow edge of a board element along its entire length. More particularly these reference do not suggest that such a process could be done continuously, while maintaining uniform contact with the pressure element. Paulk cannot provide the missing limitations because Paulk teaches only movement across the edge of the board element, and the pack of boards, i.e. the labeling assembly moves from board to board, and not down the length of each board while in uniform contact with the edge of the board. The combination of the references does not teach the limitations of the instant claims and cannot negate patentability. Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

Claim 6 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly unpatentable over La Mers and further in view of Schut. As discussed above, Applicants regard Schut as plainly nonanalogous art. In any case, the skilled artisan seeking to arrive at the invention of claim 6 would not be motivated to combine La Mers and Schut, and such a combination would not result in the claimed method. For the reasons discussed above, the prima facie case of La Mers in view of Schut does not provide each and every limitation of the instant claims. La Mers does not teach a method for application of a edge band to the narrow face of a board element while maintaining uniform contact with the pressure element. Because the combination of the references does not teach the limitations of the instant claims, the prima facie case is rebutted. Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

Claim 9 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) as allegedly unpatentable over La Mers as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of Wu. As discussed above, La Mers teaches only a necessarily discontinuous application of a label to an abject lacking a narrow

Office Action Dated: August 4, 2003

PATENT REPLY FILED UNDER EXPEDITED PROCEDURE PURSUANT TO 37 CFR § 1.116

face, and does not teach the movement of the object. For purposes of obviousness, Wu, like Schut is nonanalagous art for the purposes of 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a). The skilled artisan seeking to solve the problem of developing a continuous process for applying an edge band or film to the narrow face of a board element or profile bar would not consult Wu which addresses manufacturing a an ink-jet printhead. Even if, for the sake of argument, the skilled artisan were to consider Wu, as set forth above, the only movement taught by Wu is in the heat bonding head, which moves up and down in order to make contact with the heat bonding sheet. Wu would not be considered, but it is clear that even if it were considered, Wu cannot be combined with La Mers to arrive at each limitation or step of the methods claimed by the Applicant. Applicants respectfully assert that the combination of La Mers and Wu does not constitute a *prima facie* case for the foregoing reasons, and the rejection must be withdrawn.

Conclusion:

The amendments and remarks herein are believed to be fully responsive to the Office Action. Applicant respectfully asserts that all claims are now in condition for allowance. An early and favorable Notice to that end is earnestly solicited. To resolve any outstanding issues prior to allowance of the claims, the Examiner is invited to contact the Applicant's undersigned representative by email at sscioli@woodcock.com, by telephone at 215-557-5986 or by facsimile at 215-568-3439.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: April 1, 2004

Scott E. Scioli

Registration No. 47,930

Woodcock Washburn LLP One Liberty Place - 46th Floor Philadelphia PA 19103

Telephone: (215) 568-3100 Facsimile: (215) 568-3439